arXiv:1601.04129v1 [math.DG] 16 Jan 2016

RICCI CURVATURE FOR SUBMANIFOLDS IN BOCHNER KAHLER MANIFOLD

Mehraj Ahmad Lone, Mohammad Jamali, Mohammad Hasan Shahid

Department of Mathematics,
Central University of Jammu,
Jammu, 180011, INDIA.

Department of mathematics,
Al-Falah University,
Faridabad, Haryana, 121004, INDIA.

Department of mathematics,
Jamia Millia Islamia,
New Delhi, 110025, INDIA.
mehraj.jmi@gmail.com,jamali_dbd@yahoo.co.in,hasan_jmi@yahoo.com

Abstract

B. Y. Chen establish the relationship between the Ricci curvature and the squared mean curvature for submanifolds of Riemannian space form with arbitrary codimension. In this paper, we generalize the relationship between the Ricci curvature and the squared norm of mean curvature vector for submanifolds of Bochner Kahler manifolds.

2010 AMS Classification: 53C20, 53C21, 53C40.

Key words: Bochner Kahler manifold, slant submanifolds, Einstein manifold, Ricci curvature.

1 Introduction

The relationship between the main extrinsic invariants and the main intrinsic invariants of submanifolds was given by B. Y. Chen[4]. This theory was started by Chen in [6] and established sharp relationship between the Ricci curvature and the squared norm of mean curvature for a submanifold in a Riemannian space form with arbitrary codimension [5]. In [9], K. Matsumoto *et.al.* obtained an inequality between the Ricci curvature and the squared norm of mean curvature for submanifolds in complex space form. In [10], K. Matsumoto *et.al.* obtained the same inequality for the slant submanifolds of complex space form. After that, many research articles [1], [7], [11] have been published by different geometers. They obtained the similar inequalities for different submanifolds and ambient spaces in complex as well as in contact version. In this paper, we obtain these inequalities for different submanifolds of Bochner Kahler manifolds.

2 Preliminaries

Let M^n be a submanifold of a Bochner Kahler manifold $\overline{M}^{2m}$. Let ∇ be the induced Levi-Civita connection on M. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X,Y), \tag{1}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X V = A_V X + D_X Y, \tag{2}$$

for all X, Y tangent to M and vector field V normal to M^n. Where h, D, A_V denotes the second fundamental form, normal connection and the shape operator in the direction of V. The second fundamental form and the shape operator are related by

$$g(h(X,Y),V) = g(A_V X, Y), \tag{3}$$

Let R be the curvature tensor of M^n, Then the Gauss equation is given by

$$\overline{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = R(X,Y,Z,W) + g(h(X,W),h(Y,Z)) - g(h(X,Z),h(Y,W)),$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W tangent to M^n.

The curvature tensor of $\overline{M}^{2m}$ is given by [12]

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = {} & L(Y,Z)g(X,W) - L(X,Z)g(Y,W) + L(X,W)g(Y,Z) \\ & -L(Y,W)g(X,Z) + M(X,W)g(JX,W) - M(X,Z)g(JY,W) \\ & +M(X,W)g(JY,Z) - M(Y,W)g(JX,Z) \\ & -2M(X,Y)g(JZ,W) - 2M(Z,W)g(JX,Y),\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$L(Y,Z) = \frac{1}{2n+4}Ric(Y,Z) - \frac{\rho}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}g(Y,Z), \tag{5}$$

$$M(Y,Z) = -L(Y,JZ), \tag{6}$$

$$L(Y,Z) = L(Z,Y), \qquad L(Y,Z) = L(JY,JZ), \qquad L(Y,JZ) = -L(JY,Z), \tag{7}$$

Ric and ρ are the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of M.

Let $p \in M^n$ and $\{e_1,...,e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space T_pM and $\{e_{n+1},...,e_{2m}\}$ be the orthonormal basis of $T^{\perp}M$. We denote by H, the mean curvature vector at p, that is

$$H(p) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(e_i,e_i), \tag{8}$$

Also, we set

$$h_{ij}^r = g(h(e_i,e_j),e_r), \qquad i,j \in \{1,...,n\}, \;\; r \in \{n+1,...,2m\}$$

and

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (h(e_i,e_j),h(e_i,e_j)). \tag{9}$$

For any $p \in M$ and $X \in T_pM$, we put $JX = PX + QX$, where PX and QX are the tangential and normal components of JX, respectively.

We denote by

$$\|P\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^2(Pe_i,e_j),$$

For a Riemannian manifold M^n, we denote by $K\pi$ the sectional curvature of M associated with a plane section $\pi \subset T_PM, p \in M$. For an orthonormal basis $\{e_1,e_2,...,e_n\}$ of the tangent space T_pM, the scalar curvature ρ is defined by

$$\rho = \sum_{i<j} K_{ij},$$

where K_{ij} denotes the sectional curvature of the 2-plane section spanned by e_i and e_j.

We recall that for a submanifold M in a Riemannian manifold, the relative null space of M at a point $p \in M$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_p = \{X \in T_pM | h(X,Y) = 0, \forall Y \in T_pM\}.$$

3 Ricci curvature and squared norm of mean curvature

B. Y. Chen established the sharp relationship between the Ricci curvature and the squared norm of mean curvature for submanifolds in real space form.

In this section, we prove similar inequalities for submanifolds of Bochner Kahler manifolds

Definition 1. *A submanifold M^n of a Bochner Kahler manifold $\overline{M}^{2m}$ is said to be a slant submanifold if for any $p \in M$ and any non zero vector $X \in T_pM$, the angle between JX and the tangent space T_pM is constant. The complex submanifolds and totally real submanifolds are the slant submanifolds with angle 0 and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ respectively.*

Theorem 1. *Let M^n be a submanifold of a Bochner Kahler manifold $\overline{M}^{2m}$, then*
(i) for each unit vector $X \in T_pM$, we have

$$Ric(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2\|H\|^2 + \frac{4n^3 - 12n^2 - 2n + 10 - (3n^2 - 9n + 3)\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho$$
$$\frac{6}{2n+4}\sum_{2\leq i<j\leq n} Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j) - \frac{3}{2n+4}Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j).$$

(ii) If $H(p) = 0$, the unit tangent vector X at p satisfies the equality if and only if $X \in \mathcal{N}_p$.
(iii) The equality case holds identically for all unit tangent vectors at p if and only if either p is totally geodesic point or $n = 2$ and p is totally umbilical point.

Proof. (i) Let $X \in T_pM$ be a unit tangent vector at p. We choose orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n, e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2m}\}$ such that $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$ are tangent to M at p with $e_1 = X$, then from Gauss equation we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y,Z,W) = {} & L(Y,Z)g(X,W) - L(X,Z)g(Y,W) + L(X,W)g(Y,Z) \\ & -L(Y,W)g(X,Z) + M(Y,Z)g(JX,W) - M(X,Z)g(JY,W) \\ & -M(X,W)g(JY,Z) - M(Y,W)g(JX,Z) - 2M(X,Y)(JZ,W) \\ & -2M(Z,W)g(JX,Y) + g(h(X,W),h(Y,Z)) - g(h(X,Z),h(Y,W)), \end{aligned}$$

for any X, Y, Z, W ∈ TM.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} R(e_i,e_j,e_j,e_i) = {} & L(e_j,e_j)g(e_i,e_i) - L(e_i,e_j)g(e_j,e_i) + L(e_i,e_i)g(e_j,e_j) \\ & -L(e_j,e_i)g(e_i,e_j) + M(e_j,e_j)g(Je_i,e_i) - M(e_i,e_j)g(Je_j,e_i) \\ & -M(e_i,e_i)g(Je_j,e_j) - M(e_j,e_i)g(Je_i,e_j) - 2M(e_i,e_j)(Je_j,e_i) \\ & -2M(e_j,e_i)g(Je_i,e_j) + g(h(e_i,e_i),h(e_j,e_j)) - g(h(e_i,e_j),h(e_j,e_i)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} = {} & L(e_j,e_j)g(e_i,e_i) - L(e_i,e_j)g(e_j,e_i) + L(e_i,e_i)g(e_j,e_j) \\ & -L(e_j,e_i)g(e_i,e_j) - L(e_j,Je_j)g(Je_i,e_i) + L(e_i,Je_j)g(Je_j,e_i) \\ & +L(e_i,Je_i)g(Je_j,e_j) + L(e_j,Je_i)g(Je_i,e_j) + 2L(e_i,Je_j)(Je_j,e_i) \\ & +2L(e_j,Je_i)g(Je_i,e_j) + g(h(e_i,e_i),h(e_j,e_j)) - g(h(e_i,e_j),h(e_j,e_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Using (7), (8) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} R(e_i,e_j,e_j,e_i) = {} & 2nL(e_i,e_i) - 2L(e_i,e_j)g(e_i,e_j) + 6L(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j) \\ & +n^2\|H\|^2 - \|h\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Last equation simplifies to,

$$2\rho = 2(n-1)L(e_i,e_i) + 6L(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j) + n^2\|H\|^2 - \|h\|^2 \tag{10}$$

Combining (5) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}2\rho = &\frac{2(n-1)}{2n+4}Ric(e_i,e_i) - \frac{2(n-1)\rho}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}g(e_i,e_i)\\ &+\frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j) - \frac{6\rho}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}g(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j)\\ &+n^2\|H\|^2 - \|h\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Or,

$$\begin{aligned}2\rho = &\frac{6n^2+2n-8-6\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho + \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j)\\ &+n^2\|H\|^2 - \|h\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Or,

$$n^2\|H\|^2 = (2 - \frac{6n^2+2n-8-6\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)})\rho + \|h\|^2 - \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j).$$

From which we have,

$$n^2\|H\|^2 = (2 - \frac{6n^2+2n-8-6\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)})\rho + \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(h_{ij}^r) - \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j).$$

Or,

$$\begin{aligned}n^2\|H\|^2 = &(2 - \frac{6n^2+2n-8-6\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)})\rho + \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\left[(h_{11}^r)^2 + (h_{22}^r)^2 + ... + (h_{nn}^r)^2 + 2\sum_{i<j}(h_{ij}^r)^2\right]\\ &-\frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j).\end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}n^2\|H\|^2 = &(2 - \frac{6n^2+2n-8-6\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)})\rho + \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\left[(h_{11}^r)^2 + (h_{22}^r)^2 + ... + (h_{nn}^r)^2\right]\\ &+2\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{i<j}(h_{ij}^r)^2 - \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j).\end{aligned}$$

Or,

$$\begin{aligned}n^2\|H\|^2 = &(2 - \frac{6n^2+2n-8-6\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)})\rho + \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\left[(h_{11}^r)^2 + (h_{22}^r + ... + h_{nn}^r)^2 - 2\sum_{2\le i<j\le n}h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r\right]\\ &+2\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{i<j}(h_{ij}^r)^2 - \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j).\end{aligned}$$

Form which we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}n^2\|H\|^2 = &(2 - \frac{6n^2+2n-8-6\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)})\rho + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\left[(h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r + ... + h_{nn}^r)^2 + (h_{11}^r - h_{22}^r - ... - h_{nn}^r)^2\right]\\ &-2\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{2\le i<j\le n}h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r + 2\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{i<j}(h_{ij}^r)^2 - \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i,Je_j)g(e_i,Je_j).\end{aligned}$$

Or,

$$n^2\|H\|^2 = (2 - \frac{6n^2+2n-8-6\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)})\rho + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}(h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r + ... + h_{nn}^r)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}(h_{11}^r - h_{22}^r - ... - h_{nn}^r)^2$$
$$-2\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{2\le i<j\le n} h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r + 2\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(h_{1j}^r)^2 + 2\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{i<j}(h_{ij}^r)^2 - \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j).$$

Or,

$$n^2\|H\|^2 = (2 - \frac{6n^2+2n-8-6\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)})\rho + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}(h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r + ... + h_{nn}^r)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}(h_{11}^r - h_{22}^r - ... - h_{nn}^r)^2$$
$$+2\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(h_{1j}^r)^2 - 2\left[\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{2\le i<j\le n} h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{ij}^r)^2\right] - \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j). \tag{11}$$

From Gauss equation, we have

$$K_{ij} = 2L(e_i, e_i) + 6L(e_i, e_i)g(e_i, Je_j) + \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\left[h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{ij}^r)^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{2}{2n+4}Ric(e_i, e_i) - \frac{2\rho}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}g(e_i, e_i) + \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j)$$
$$-\frac{6\rho}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}g(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j) + \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\left[h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{ij}^r)^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{8n+6-6\|p\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho + \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j) + \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\left[h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{ij}^r)^2\right]$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{2\le i<j\le n} K_{ij} = \frac{4n^3-9n^2-n+6-(3n^2-9n+6)\|p\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho + \frac{6}{2n+4}\sum_{2\le i<j\le n} Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j)$$
$$+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m}\sum_{2\le i<j\le n}\left[h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{ij}^r)^2\right] \tag{12}$$

Incorporating (12) in (11), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}n^2\|H\|^2 \ge 2Ric(X) - \frac{6n^2+2n-8-6\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho + 2\frac{4n^3-9n^2-n+6-(3n^2-9n+6)\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho$$
$$\frac{12}{2n+4}\sum_{2\le i<j\le n} Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j) - \frac{6}{2n+4}Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j)$$

or,

$$Ric(X) \le \frac{1}{4}n^2\|H\|^2 + \frac{4n^3-12n^2-2n+10-(3n^2+9n-3)\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho$$
$$\frac{6}{2n+4}\sum_{2\le i<j\le n} Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j) - \frac{3}{2n+4}Ric(e_i, Je_j)g(e_i, Je_j)$$

(ii) Suppose $H(p) = 0$, equality holds if and only if

$$\begin{cases} h^r_{12} = ... = h^r_{1n} = 0, \\ h^r_{11} = h^r_{22} + ... + h^r_{nn}, r \in \{n+1, ..., 2m\} \end{cases}$$

Then $h^r_{1j} = 0 \forall j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}, r \in \{n+1, ..., 2m\}$, i.e. $X \in \mathcal{N}$.
(iii) The equality case holds for all unit vectors at p if and only if

$$\begin{cases} h^r_{12} = 0, i \neq j, r \in \{n+1, ..., 2m\}, \\ h^r_{11} + ... + h^r_{nn} - 2h^r_{ij} = 0, i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}, r \in \{n+1, ..., 2m\} \end{cases}$$

We distinguish two cases:
(a) $n \neq 2$, then p is a totally geodesic point
(b) n=2, it follows that p is a totally umbilical point.
The converse is trivial.

Corollary 1. *Let M^n be a submanifold of a Bochner Kahler manifold $\overline{M}^{2m}$ which is Einstein, then*
(i)for each unit vector $X \in T_pM$, we have

$$Ric(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2\|H\|^2 + \frac{4n^3 - 12n^2 - 2n + 10 - (3n^2 - 9n + 3)\|P\|^2}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho + \frac{3}{2n+2}\lambda\|P\|^2.$$

(ii) If $H(p) = 0$, the unit tangent vector X at p satisfies the equality if and only if $X \in \mathcal{N}_p$.
(iii) The equality case holds identically for all unit tangent vectors at p if and only if either p is totally geodesic point or $n = 2$ and p is totally umbilical point.

Theorem 2. *Let M^n be a slant submanifold of a Bochner Kahler manifold $\overline{M}^{2m}$, then*
(i) for each unit vector $X \in T_pM$, we have

$$Ric(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2\|H\|^2 + \frac{4n^3 - 12n^2 - 2n + 10 - (3n^2 - 9n + 3)cos^2\theta}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho + \frac{6cos\theta}{2n+4}\sum_{2\leq i<j\leq n} Ric(e_i, Je_j) - \frac{3}{2n+4}cos\theta Ric(e_i, Je_j)$$

(ii) If $H(p) = 0$, the unit tangent vector X at p satisfies the equality if and only if $X \in \mathcal{N}_p$.
(iii) The equality case holds identically for all unit tangent vectors at p if and only if either p is totally geodesic point or $n = 2$ and p is totally umbilical point.

Corollary 2. *Let M^n be an anti-invariant submanifold of a Bochner Kahler manifold $\overline{M}^{2m}$, then*
(i)for each unit vector $X \in T_pM$, we have

$$Ric(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2\|H\|^2 + \frac{4n^3 - 12n^2 - 2n + 10}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho$$

(ii) If $H(p) = 0$, the unit tangent vector X at p satisfies the equality if and only if $X \in \mathcal{N}_p$.
(iii) The equality case holds identically for all unit tangent vectors at p if and only if either p is totally geodesic point or $n = 2$ and p is totally umbilical point.

Corollary 3. *Let M^n be a invariant submanifold of a Bochner Kahler manifold $\overline{M}^{2m}$, then*
(i) for each unit vector $X \in T_pM$, we have

$$Ric(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2\|H\|^2 + \frac{4n^3 - 12n^2 - 2n + 10 - (3n^2 - 9n + 3)}{2(2n+2)(2n+4)}\rho + \frac{6}{2n+4}\sum_{2\leq i<j\leq n} Ric(e_i, Je_j) - \frac{3}{2n+4}Ric(e_i, Je_j)$$

(ii) If $H(p) = 0$, the unit tangent vector X at p satisfies the equality if and only if $X \in \mathcal{N}_p$.

(iii) The equality case holds identically for all unit tangent vectors at p if and only if either p is totally geodesic point or $n = 2$ and p is totally umbilical point.

References

1. A. Song, X. Liu, *Some inequalities of slant submanifolds in generilized complex space form*, Tamkang Math. J., 36, (2005), 223-229.
2. B. Y. Chen, *A general inequality for submanifolds in complex space forms and its applications*, Arch. Math., 67, 1996, 519-528.
3. B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of Slant submanifolds*, Katholieke Universitiet Leuven, 1990.
4. B. Y. Chen, *Mean curvature and shape operator of isometric immersions in real space forms*, Glasgow. Math. J., 38(1),1996, 87-97.
5. B. Y. Chen, *Relationship between Ricci curvature and shape operator for submanifolds with arbitrary codimensions*, Glasgow. Math. J., 41(1999), 33-41.
6. B. Y. Chen, *Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds*, Arch. math., 60, 1993, 568-578.
7. I. Mihai, *Ricci curvature of submanifolds in sasakian space forms*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 72, (2002), 247-256.
8. J. S. Kim, Y. M. Song, M. M. Tripathi, *B. Y. Chen inequalities for submanifolds in generilized complex space forms*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 40(3), 2003, 411-423.
9. K. Matsumoto, I. Mihai, A. Oiaga, *Ricci curvature of submanifolds in complex space form*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 46(2001), 775-782.
10. K. Matsumoto, I. Mihai, Y. Tazawa, *Ricci tensor of slant submanifolds in complex space form*, Kodai Math. J., 26(2003), 85-94.
11. M. H. Shahid, F. R. Al-Solamy, *Ricci tensor of slant submanifolds in a quaternion projective space*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. , 349, 2011, 571-373.
12. M. H. Shahid, S. I. Husain, *CR-submanifolds of a Bochner kahler manifold*, Indian J. Pure. and Applied Math., 18, 1987, 605-610.